



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

**BANKRUPTCY—INSURANCE POLICIES AS ASSETS.**—A bankrupt holding policies of life insurance payable to his estate, which policies had a cash surrender value, died after petition was filed, but before adjudication, without redeeming them. *Held*, the privilege of redemption conferred by § 70a (5) is personal and cannot be asserted by the bankrupt's personal representatives, and the matured policies pass to the trustee. *Partridge v. Andrews* (1911) 191 Fed. 325.

The sole question is as to the effect, upon insurance policies within the proviso of § 70a (5), of a bankrupt's death between filing of petition and adjudication. In a case where the bankrupt died after adjudication, it has been held that the privilege was not personal, but survived to the administrator—*Van Kirk v. Vermont State Co.* 140 Fed. 38. The time of death—before or after adjudication—would seem not to be material, for it is well settled that the date of filing the petition is the date of cleavage, for most purposes. *In re Pease*, 4 A. B. R. 578; *In re Burka*, 104 Fed. 326. This principle has been invoked to stay attachments by creditors after filing of petition, *State Bank of Chicago v. Cox*, 143 Fed. 91; as well as to determine jurisdiction between two courts, *In re Elmira Steel Co.*, 109 Fed. 456. As a basis of decision *Van Kirk v. Vermont State Co.*, *supra* assumed that policies do not pass to the trustee until after the redemption period and that it is the duty of the trustee, not of the bankrupt, to ascertain from the company the "cash surrender value." This premise is inconsistent with *In re Lange*, 91 Fed. 361; *In re Steele*, 98 Fed. 78; *In re Slingsluff*, 106 Fed. 154; *In re Orear*, 178 Fed. 632, 30 L. R. A. (N. S.) 990, which hold, with the principal case that the bankrupt's title at the date of filing the petition, together with its value then contingent upon death, passes to the trustee immediately upon his qualification, subject only to the possibility of redemption.

**CARRIERS—DUTY TOWARD ALIGHTING PASSENGER.**—Plaintiff, while in the act of alighting from one of defendant's street cars, was thrown to the street, due to the conductor's negligently giving the motorman the signal to start the car. An instruction that "employees are bound and required to ascertain and know that no passenger is in the act of alighting from the car before putting it in motion again," *held*, erroneous, and new trial granted. *Caughell v. Indianapolis Traction & Terminal Co.* (Ind. App. 1912) 97 N. E. 1028.

The instruction given by the lower court would seem to be in accord with the weight of authority. "The high degree of care which the law puts upon the carrier of passengers is not fulfilled in the case of a street railway carrier, unless its servants, before putting a car in motion, *see and know* that all passengers in the act of alighting have succeeded in doing so in safety, and that no passenger is in such a situation as to be put in peril by the starting of the car," 3 THOMPSON, NEGLIGENCE, § 3520. The following cases announce the same rule: *Washington & G. R. Co. v. Harmon*, 147 U. S. 571, 37 L. Ed. 284, 13 Sup. Ct. 557; *Birmingham, etc. R. Co. v. Wildman*, 119 Ala. 547, 24 South 548; *Little Rock T. & E. Co. v. Kimbro*, 75 Ark. 211, 87 S. W. 121; *Leavenworth Elec. R. Co. v. Cusick*, 60 Kan. 590, 57 Pac. 519; *Behen v. St. Louis T. Co.*, 186 Mo. 430, 85 S. W. 346; *Omaha & C. B. R. & B. Co. v. Levinston*, 49